

**DEVELOPING MASS MEDICAL READINESS, I: SIMULATION-BASED,  
DISRIBUTED TRAINING OF GEOGRAPHICALLY DISPERSED EMS AND  
PREHOSPITAL PERSONNEL**

Marvis J. Lary<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin Carrasco<sup>2</sup>, Howard Levine<sup>2</sup>, Richard Levine<sup>2</sup>, Timothy A. Pletcher<sup>3</sup>, Dag K.J.E. von Lubitz<sup>2,4</sup>

*“To Mara who started it all”*  
A naval officer

1. Office of the Dean  
College of Health Professions  
Central Michigan University  
Power Hall 104  
Mount Pleasant, MI 48859  
USA  
[lary1mj@cmich.edu](mailto:lary1mj@cmich.edu)
2. MedSMART, Inc.  
City Center Building  
Suite 260  
220 E. Huron Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
USA  
[info@med-smart.org](mailto:info@med-smart.org)
3. Center for Advanced Research and Technology  
Central Michigan University  
Mount Pleasant, MI 48859  
[Tim.Pletcher@cmich.edu](mailto:Tim.Pletcher@cmich.edu)
4. To whom all correspondence should be addressed at:  
MedSMART, Inc.  
City Center Building  
Suite 260  
220 E. Huron Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
USA  
[dvlubitz@med-smart.org](mailto:dvlubitz@med-smart.org)

## **ABSTRACT**

Medical errors involving either misdiagnosis or mismanagement of patients continue to influence the quality of healthcare delivery. Despite ongoing efforts at the improvement of diagnostic and manual skills through continuous medical education (CME) programs, problems affecting the efficiency of such training are easily perceptible. Thus, despite the existing guidelines, inter-institutional and intersystem variations in the quality of CME programs may influence subsequent quality of care delivered by the affected organizations. Currently, CME training is delivered either as standard didactic lectures or, more rarely, as a combination of bedside instruction and hands-on practical exposure. Contrary to the prevalent claims of “interactivity” of the Web-based training modules, many appear to be nothing but electronic books with the process of learning supported by quizzes and case reports. Hands-on training, performed most commonly at large institutions of medical training, is both costly and invariably affects only a comparatively small number of personnel. Hence, its impact on medical activities within a large geographical area is, of necessity, limited and its value rests primarily with the education of in-hospital staffs and the personnel in the immediate vicinity of the training center. The advent of High Fidelity Patient Simulators opened new avenues of hands-on medical training whose realism can closely approximate that of the real life clinical environment but is free from the ethical concerns imposed by the “teach or treat” dilemma. Mistakes, which often serve as the highly powerful source of learning, can be made without fear of consequences, management of often very complex medical events can be practiced, and stress elements that often accompany the practice of medicine can be freely introduced, conditioning the trainee to the rigorous demands of dealing with medical emergencies.

Widespread use of simulators is complicated by their relative scarcity consequent to their continuously high price and the resources necessary for their effective operation. However, integration of the Medical Application Software Provider (MedASP) concept with the principles of the Advanced Distributed Learning (ADL) and Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) overcomes these obstacles. This highly unique approach that we have developed and tested offers significant interactivity yet permits simultaneous, highly realistic training a large number of widely dispersed learners. It is, therefore, of particular relevance in the context of providing sustained learning to EMS and prehospital personnel in rural and geographically remote areas. The approach also offers realistic means of “just-in-time” training in situations of mass casualty threats, e.g., chem/bioterrorism, natural disasters, industrial disasters, humanitarian relief activities, etc.

**Key words: medical readiness, medical education, distance learning, simulation, EMS, bioterrorism, Internet, high fidelity patient simulators, just-in-time training, medical simulation, medical learning**

## **1. The “new” medical world and the growing need for training**

The past 50 years witnessed probably the most explosive growth of medical knowledge in the history of medicine imposing dramatic changes on the practice of healthcare [1,2]. Unsurprisingly, the issue of lifelong medical learning rose to the unprecedented prominence and intensifying exploration of the means to improve efficiency of medical education and postgraduate training that often involves very sophisticated technologies [3-5]. Yet, while most advanced training is routinely practiced at the major medical training centers [6 - 8], the access to even relatively simple the continuous medical education (CME) is often difficult in rural and remote regions of the globe [9 - 12]. Isolation, inadequate funds, inconsistent quality of training programs, and variation in the allocation of training resources have been often described as the principal issues that need to be addressed to produce measurable changes [reviewed in ref. 13].

The emergence of new medical threats such as bioterrorism [14] introduced a new challenge in educating large numbers of prehospital and emergency room personnel necessary to ensure maximum level of readiness [14 - 17]. Medical professionals who typically do not participate in EMS operations may have an active role in interventions associated or following an act of bioterrorism [18], clearly indicating that the required training must account for the existing differences in the baseline knowledge. Moreover, the required training programs must be highly standardized and conducted at a consistently high quality level if it is expected to develop and sustain adequate preparedness against emerging threats of bioterrorism or mass casualty events [19 - 23]. In summary, even a perfunctory review of the existing literature clearly indicates the persistent and rapidly growing need for continuous education and training of both pre-

and hospital personnel [24 - 26], and the significant role of federal, state, local, and non-governmental agencies in developing robust tools and systems that will be sufficient to provide both continuous and “just-in-time” medical training [27 - 29].

## **2. The nature of the training need**

Changes in medical practice, increasing specialization, and multi- or cross-disciplinary approach to the treatment of disease [30 - 37] require a very wide range of sophisticated postgraduate education programs at both pre- and in-hospital levels of medical operations. Problems ranging from communication and definition of professional identities and roles within the medical management team [38-41], through procedure difficulties [42-44], missed or wrong diagnoses [45-48], to errors in medical command of EMS operations or even fundamental inadequacies in training of prehospital and in-hospital healthcare providers [44,46,49-53] have been described. One of the unifying trends that emerge from several studies is that of inadequate training of non-specialist healthcare workers in adult and pediatric emergency and trauma medicine [52 – 62] and in surgery [63 – 67] that is particularly pronounced in rural and remote regions worldwide.

Clinical and procedural errors resulting from training deficiencies at the first responder/paramedic level [68,69] are as common as those at to the higher echelons of care providers, and pose similar major concerns. The adverse effects of less than optimal teamwork caused by poor team training [70], substandard mastery of essential (even basic) diagnostic skills and resuscitation procedures [71-75], and unreliability in the delivery of commonly encountered services as advanced cardiac life support [76] have

been well documented. Even more problematic are the substantial inadequacies in pediatric resuscitation skills resulting from both inadequate initial training and infrequent refresher education that combine with a relatively rare exposure to pediatric emergencies [77 – 80]. The intense need for continuous education and training is emphasized even more strongly by the recently reported lack of reliability in performance rating during EMT licensing examinations [81] – a failure that allows operational entry of personnel with less-than-optimal knowledge and skills. Cumulatively, the inadequate entry-level preparation combined with the demonstrated skills decay [79, 80, 82] are not only the source of major concerns, but provide further argument for the vigorous maintenance of clinical competence through the process of lifelong learning among all healthcare professionals [83 – 92].

Trivial in comparison to often life/death repercussions of medical errors, the costs that accompany each incident of faulty patient management constitute another significant motive for sustained training of medical personnel. It is estimated that the average price of a resuscitation attempt vary between \$ 3.000 and \$10.000 depending whether its initiation started as an in-hospital or pre-hospital event [94, 95]. Clearly, any error resulting in a serious aggravation of the presenting complaint will automatically increase the final cost of care by making it more complex and more resource-demanding [96]. Thus, while the argument of “I am certified” may still be heard, the wealth of existing data on errors indicate that the presence of certification alone may offer very false security in one’s own medical prowess. The real cost of such (possibly unwarranted) certitude may, indeed, be quite extreme both in terms of the medical outcomes and in the associated costs expenditure.

### **3. The existing training methods**

Presently, medical education at all levels of expertise is conducted in a manner that, despite a host of advances in technology, has not changed significantly during the recorded history of medicine [1]. In the broadest terms, training of healthcare professionals is conducted either as a totally passive assimilation of the existing body of knowledge such as books [97,98] or lectures [99,100], or through an active, bedside-based approach. The latter may involve either the combination of passive and active methods or the hands-on methods alone [101-103]. Significantly, while it is often claimed that electronic dissemination of medical knowledge provides “interactivity”, many existing platforms represent nothing but technologically advanced forms of traditional (essentially passive) training based on traditional didactic principles [e.g. 104 – 106]. The primary advantage of information technology in the didactic e-training packages rests with the ease of access to the appropriate sources of latest information, rapid cross-referencing of information and the supporting data, and the ability to organize information derived from various resources into easily catalogued logical units that assist in assimilation and solidification/retention of the acquired knowledge.

Rapid growth of Internet connectivity in the technologically advanced countries is associated with the most important attribute of electronic healthcare knowledge dissemination – erosion of distance as the main obstacle in accessing postgraduate professional education among healthcare personnel in rural and remote regions of the world [reviewed in ref. 107]. The existing Internet/Web-based medical training and/or consultation programs cover a wide range of topics, satisfy almost every need for specialized knowledge, and, with the increasing sophistication of the existing protocols,

may involve a large variety of approaches spanning from e-mail exchange to videoconferencing and multimedia offerings [e.g., 108-120]. The main disadvantage of didactic distance learning is its essentially static nature that fails to reflect the dynamism of medical specialties such as emergency/trauma medicine, military medicine, or surgery [121]. The existence of inaccurate, obsolete, or incomplete content of many medical information sites provides another major problem that affects the overall quality of many Internet-based learning resources [107, 122].

Hands-on training based on the trainee-patient contact, while highly effective in the development of the necessary clinical skills [123, 124], is associated with a number of challenges [125 – 129] and risks [130]. Facing equally daunting issues of hands-on training, the aviation community, where both training and operations are associated with many characteristics similar to the high-paced tempo of medical specialties such as emergency medicine, trauma medicine, or perioperative care), used in the past 80 years [131] simulation devices that both to increase the efficiency of training and minimize the associated dangers [132 - 135]. Although the history of simulation in medicine is significantly shorter, both its role in education and training, and its level of sophistication increase very rapidly [136 –140]. Presently, High Fidelity Patient Simulators (HFPS, previously known as Human Patient Simulators) serve as highly complex “medical flight simulators” in a wide variety of training tasks. The use of HFPS units in hands-on training eliminates all risk factors associated with similar training on living patients, permits improvement of diagnostic skills by allowing practical understanding of the involved steps, hones interactions of medical teams, and promotes punishment-free learning based on one’s own errors [121, 141 -147]. Training based on or in virtual

reality environments [107, 121, 148 – 151] represents technologically the most sophisticated level of medical simulation that proved to be particularly suitable in surgery and emergency medicine [107, 151].

#### **4. Simulation training – good but inaccessible**

A rapidly increasing number of publications describe preeminent applicability of medical simulation in training of healthcare personnel in a variety of medical specialties [152 – 163]. However, in the majority of cases, studies of simulation efficacy have been performed in the setting of large training institutions that can easily supply the required significant fiscal resources for the acquisition of the simulation equipment, and provide both space and personnel necessary for the successful operation of a simulation center [164, 165]. Unsurprisingly then, the target audience of simulation-based training consists typically of students and residents associated with the institution that already has its own simulation center, i.e. the trainees who are also exposed to the essentially maximal concentration of the traditional educational resources. In contrast, both pre- and in-hospital healthcare professionals, particularly in rural and remote regions, are largely excluded from the benefits of simulation-based training predominantly conducted at the large academic medical education centers. The cost of attending and of the training itself, the ease of accessing the training site, and the difficulty in fitting training into professional schedules appear to be the predominant obstacles [121 and in preparation]. Thus, it is paradoxical that the economical and logistic issues may prevent simulation technology from reaching the audiences where it may have a far greater impact than at the established centers of medical learning.

## **5. Simulation-based distributed medical training**

In order to circumvent the obstacles preventing large-scale access to simulation-based training, we have developed and operationally tested a model for distributed simulation-based training targeted at widely dispersed, large numbers of medical personnel (Fig. 1). The model combines the principles of Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) and of the Application Service Provider (ASP), and facilitates utilization of a sophisticated simulation facility by the remote learners (Fig 2, and references. 121, 165, 166, 167, 168). Practical implementation of the concept allows execution of highly realistic training in often complex and stress-filled environment of field emergency and trauma medicine and obviates the need for the trainees to leave their physical location that may be separated by thousands of miles from the simulation facility [169]. The additional advantage of the model is its preeminent suitability for real-time dissemination of world-class medical training expertise in the form of practical “patient demonstrations” rather than the commonly encountered didactic format of a theoretical lecture [169]. Consequently, prehospital learner audiences in rural and remote regions who, hitherto, had significant difficulties in accessing arguably the most sophisticated form of medical learning can now benefit from both advanced training technology and expertise, and the customized services may be provided essentially on demand.

We have performed numerous operations that utilized and validated the concept of distance-based simulation training at all levels of medical expertise. Courses in ACLS, ATLS, and CTLS were given to US and NATO military personnel [165,166,167, and in preparation], medical students and physicians [169], and First Responders [in preparation] with the distance between the simulation facility in Ann Arbor and the

trainees from 140 to nearly 8,000 miles (transatlantic training in France and Italy, Fig. 3). In two instances of transatlantic training, highly innovative peri- and intraoperative decision-making and team training were the main subject of the courses [169]. Finally, crew responses to a chemical warfare threat were practiced aboard a US Coast Guard vessel (USCGC FORWARD) during its operational deployment in South Atlantic [165]. The demonstrated efficacy and ease of such training combined with the high level of interactivity, and the ease of access to the advanced simulation facility and world-class training expertise independent of the distance separating the students from the central simulation center [166, 167, 168, 169] is of special significance for EMS and military healthcare providers, particularly in situations of increased need for “just-in-time” training of a large number of personnel deployed to several geographically dispersed sites [121] as seen during bioterrorist threats, military conflicts, or large scale rescue operations [166].

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The paper presents our vision of how simulation can be employed as a highly effective training modality used in the setting of Advanced Distributed Learning. Much of the work quoted has already been done, and the vision would not be possible without our colleagues whose effort was instrumental in making the present analysis. Hence, the authors wish to express their gratitude for the superb contribution of all their colleagues and collaborators who, even if not directly named in the list of authors, were directly involved in providing background to the present paper, i.e., Ms. Y. Acosta-Arbona, F. Gabrielli, MD, J. Hawayek, MD., Ms. M. Leigh, Ludwig, MD, C. Poirier, REMTP, F.

Patricelli, PhD, and S. Richir, PhD. Several institutions were also extremely generous in their support. The faith of Laerdal USA and MPL, Inc., particularly of Mr. David Johnson and Mr. Rick Ritt, was unprecedented. Citrix Systems Inc. provided the initial financial support whose acquisition was greatly facilitated by Ms. J. Moskowitz and T. Gruen-Kennedy, PhD. Digital Realm, Inc. unfailingly provided the most generous technical and materiel assistance, while Mr. G. Scigliano of Pentax USA allowed us to use their sophisticated fiberoptic equipment. Telecom Italia offered their facilities in Italy together with connectivity for the transatlantic operations, while ISTIA at the University of Angers, did the same in France. Medical School in L'Aquila, particularly its department of Surgery, had no hesitation in allowing us to use their senior students and staff as our experimental subjects, while CAPT. A. French, USCG facilitated our shipboard activities and at the Innovation Exhibition organized by USCG in Baltimore in 2002. Last, but by no means least, BGEN. C-A. Fausone, NG, and MAJ. J. Kirk, USAF and his staff at Alpena ANG Station were instrumental in allowing us to conduct the "impossible" at their training facilities. Participation of Central Michigan University in some of the experiments that provided basis for the present paper has been made possible by a grant from the HHS Office for the Advancement of Telehealth.

To all of them - our gratitude.

## REFERENCES

1. Porter R, 1997, *The Greatest Benefit to Mankind: A Medical History of Humanity*, Norton & Co., pp 3 – 831
2. Kegley JA, 2002, Genetics decision-making: a template for problems with informed consent, *Med. Law.* 21, pp 459 -71
3. Colliver JA, 2002, Educational theory and medical education practice: a cautionary note for medical school faculty, *Acad. Med.* 77, pp 1217-20
4. Hans K, 2002, Global standards in medical education for better health care, *Med Educ.* 36, pp 1116
5. Billingham K, Howe A, Walters C, 2002, In our own image-a multidisciplinary qualitative analysis of medical education, *J Interprof Care* 16, pp 379-89
6. Leitch RA, Magee H, Moses GR, 2002, Simulation and the future of military medicine, *Mil. Med.* 167, pp 350-4
7. Harter P, Krummel T, Reznek M, 2002, Virtual reality and simulation: training the future emergency physician, *Acad. Emerg. Med.* 9, pp 78-87
8. Letterie GS, 2002, How virtual reality may enhance training in obstetrics and gynecology, *AM J Obstet. Gynecol.* 187, pp S37-40
9. Hoyal FM, 1999, 'Swallowing the medicine': determining the present and desired modes for delivery of continuing medical education to rural doctors, *Aust. J Rural Health* 7, pp 212-5
10. Davis P, McCracken P, 2002, Restructuring rural continuing medical education through videoconferencing, *J Telemed Telecare* 8, pp 108-9
11. Delaney G, Khadra MH, Lim SE, Sar L, Sturmberg JP, Yang SC, 2002, Challenges to rural medical education: a student perspective, *Aust. J Rural Health* 10, pp 168-72
12. Booth B, Lawrance R, 2001, Quality assurance and continuing education needs of rural and remote general practitioners: how are they changing?, *Aust J Rural Health* 9, pp 256-74
13. Rourke JT, Rourke LL, 1995, Rural family medicine training in Canada, *Can. Fam. Physician* 41, pp 993-1000

14. Darling RG, Huebner KD, Noah DL, Waeckerle JF, 2002, The history and threat of biological warfare and terrorism, *Emerg. Med. Clin. North Am.* 20, pp 255-71
15. Brocato CE, Miller GT, 2002, The next agent of terror? Understanding smallpox & its implications for prehospital crews, *J Emerg. Med. Serv. JEMS* 27, pp 52-5
16. Willaims B, 2001, Bioterrorism: are we prepared?, *Tenn. Med.* 94, pp 413-7
17. Cunha BA, 2002, Anthrax, tularemia, plague, ebola or smallpox as agents of bioterrorism: recognition in the emergency room, *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 8, pp 489-503
18. Fahlgren TL, Drenkard KN, 2002, Healthcare system disaster preparedness, part 2: nursing executive role in leadership, *J Nurs. Adm.* 32, pp 531-7
19. Guay AH, 2002, Dentistry's response to bioterrorism: a report of consensus workshop, *J Am. Dent. Assoc.* 133, pp 1181-7
20. Rubinshtein R, Robenshtok E, Eisenkraft A, Vidan A, Hourvitz A, 2002, Training Israeli medical personnel to treat casualties of nuclear, biologic, and chemical warfare, *Isr. Med. Assoc.* 4, pp 545-8
21. George G, Ramsay K, Rochester M, Seah R, Spencer H, Vijayasankar D, Vasicuro L, 2002, Facilities for chemical decontamination in accident and emergency departments in the United Kingdom, *Emerg. Med. J.* 19, pp 453-7
22. Baker DJ, 1999, Management of respiratory failure in toxic disasters, *Resuscitation* 42, pp 125-31
23. Peleg K, Reuveni H, Stein M, 2002, Earthquake disasters-lessons to be learned, *Isr. Med. Assoc. J* 4, pp 361-5
24. Cowley RA, Myers RA, Gretes AJ, 1984, EMS response to mass casualties, *Emerg. Med. Clin. North Am.* 2, pp 687-92
25. Doyle CJ, 1990, Mass casualty incident. Integration with prehospital care, *Emerg. Med. Clin. North Am.* 8, pp 163-75
26. Watterson AE, Thomas HF, 1992, Acute pesticide poisoning in the UK and information and training needs of general practitioners recording a conundrum, *Public Health* 106, pp 473-80
27. Lalich RA, The role of state government, local government, and nongovernmental organizations in medical innovative readiness training, *Mil. Med.* 167, pp 367-9
28. Koplan J, 2001, CDC's strategic plan for bioterrorism preparedness and response, *Public Health Rep.* 116, pp 2:9-16

29. Levi L, Michaelson M, Admi H, Bregman D, Bar-Nahor R, National strategy for mass casualty situations and its effects on the hospital, *Prehospital Disaster Med.* 17, pp (1): 12-6
30. Donini-Lenhoff FG, Hedrick HL, 2000, Growth of specialization in graduate medical education, *JAMA* 284, pp 1284-9
31. Schroeder SA, 2002, Primary care at a crossroads, *Acad. Med.* 77, pp 767-73
32. Gulesen O, 2001, Specialization of doctors, general practice and the training system, *Cah. Sociol. Demogr. Med.* 41, pp 386-96
33. Buckingham CD, Adams A, 2000, Classifying clinical decision making: a unifying approach, *J Adv. Nurs.* 32, pp 981-0
34. Connor M, Ponte PR, Conway J, 2002, Multidisciplinary approaches to reducing error and risk in a patient care setting, *Crit. Care Nurs. Clin. North Am.* 14, pp 359-67
35. Scheen AJ, Rorive M, Letiexhe M, Devoitille L, Jandrain B, 2001, Multidisciplinary management of the obese patient: example from the Obesity Center at the University of Liege, *Rev. Med. Liege*, 56, pp 474-9
36. Hazard RG, 1994, The Multidisciplinary Approach to Occupational Low Back Pain and Disability, *J Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg.* 2, pp 157-163
37. Schriefer J, Engelhard J, DiCesare L, Miller M, Schriefer J, 2000, Merging clinical pathway programs as part of overall health systems mergers: a ten-step guide. *Spectrum Health, Jt. Comm. J Qual. Improv.* 26, pp 29-38
38. Burd A, Cheung KW, Ho WS, Wong TW, Ying SY, Cheng PH, 2002, Before the paradigmshift: concepts and communication between doctors and nurses in a burns team, *Burns* 28, pp 691-5
39. Sherwood G, Thomas E, Bennett DS, Lewis P, 2002, A teamwork model to promote patient safety in critical care, *Crit. Care Nurs. Clin. North Am.* 14, pp 333-40
40. Lingard L, Reznick R, DeVito I, Espin S, 2002, Forming professional identities on the health care team: discursive constructions of the 'other' in the operating room, *Med. Educ.* 36, pp 728-34
41. Cooper JB, Newbower RS, Long CD, McPeck B, 2002, Preventable anesthesia mishaps: a study of human factors, *Qual. Saf. Health Care* 11, pp 277-82
42. Ruppert M, Reith MW, Widmann JH, Lackner CK, Kerkmann R, Schweiberer L, Peters K, 1999, Checking for breathing: evaluation of the diagnostic capability of

emergency medical services personnel, physicians, medical students, and medical laypersons, *Ann. Emerg. Med.* 34, pp 720-9

43. Lefrancois DP, Dufour DG, 2002, Use of the esophageal tracheal combitube by basic emergency medical technicians, *Resuscitation* 52, pp 77-83

44. Bair AE, Filbin MR, Kulkarni RG, Walls RM, 2002, The failed intubation attempt in the emergency department: analysis of prevalence, rescue techniques, and personnel, *J Emerg. Med.* 23, pp 131-40

45. De Lorenzo RA, 1993, Prehospital misidentification of tachydysrhythmias: a report of five cases, *J. Emerg. Med.* 11, pp 431-6

46. Trzeciak S, Erickson T, Bunney EB, Sloan EP, 2002, Variation in patient management based on ECG interpretation by emergency medicine and internal medicine residents, *Am. J Emerg. Med.* 20, pp 188-95

47. Herlitz J, Hansson E, Ringvall E, Starke M, Karlson BW, Waagstein L, 2002, Predicting a life-threatening disease and death among ambulance-transported patients with chest pain or other symptoms raising suspicion of an acute coronary syndrome, *Am. J Emerg. Med.* 20, pp 588-94

48. Linn S, Knoller N, Giligan CG, Dreifus U, 1997, The sky is a limit: errors in prehospital diagnosis by flight physicians, *Am. J Emerg. Med.* 15, pp 316-20

49. Holliman CJ, Wuerz RC, Meador SA, 1992, Medical command errors in an urban advanced life support system, *Ann. Emerg. Med.* 21, pp 347-50

50. Chiara O, Scott JD, Cimbanassi S, Marini A, Zoia R, Rodriguez A, Scalea T, 2002, Trauma deaths in an Italian urban area: an audit of pre-hospital and in-hospital trauma care, *Injury* 33, pp 553-62

51. Cupera J, Mannova J, Rihova H, Brychta P, Cundrle I, 2002, Quality of prehospital management of patients with burn injuries-a retrospective study, *Acta. Chir. Plast.* 44, pp 59-62

52. Cone KJ, Murray R, 2002, Characteristics, insights, decision making, and preparation of ED triage nurses, *J Emerg. Nurs.* 28, pp 401-6

53. Hodgetts TJ, Kenward G, Vlackonikolis I, Payne S, Castle N, Crouch R, Ineson N, Shaikh L, 2002, Incidence, location and reasons for avoidable in-hospital cardiac arrest in a district general hospital, *Resuscitation*, 54, pp 115-23

54. Tye JB, Hartford CE, Wallace RB, 1978, Survey of continuing needs for nonemergency physicians in emergency medicine, *JACEP*, 7, pp 16-9

55. Luiz T, Hees K, Ellinger K, 1997, Prehospital management of emergency patients after previous treatment by general practitioners—a prospective study, *Anesthesiol Intensivmed Notfallmed Schmerzther*, 32, pp 726-33
56. Tollhurst H, McMillan J, McInerney P, Bernasconi J, 1999, The emergency medicine training needs of rural general practitioners, *Aust. J Rural Health*, 7, pp 90-6
57. Somers GT, Maxfield N, Drinkwater EJ, 1999, General practitioner preparedness to respond to a medical disaster. Part I: Skills and Equipment, *Aust. Fam. Physician*, 28, pp S3-9
58. Johnston, CL, Coulthard MG, Schluter PJ, Dick ML, 2001, Medical Emergencies in general practice in south-east Queensland: prevalence and practice preparedness, *Med. J Aust.*, 175, pp 99-103
59. Dick ML, Schluter P, Johnston C, Coulthard M, 2002, GP's perceived competence and comfort in managing medical emergencies in southeast Queensland, *Aust. Fam. Physician*, 31, pp 870-5
60. Dick ML, Johnston C, Schluter P, 2002, Managing emergencies in general practice. How can we do even better?, *Aust. Fam. Physician*, 31, pp 789-90
61. Simon HK, Steele DW, Lewander WJ, Linakis JG, 1994, Are pediatric emergency medicine training programs meeting their goals and objectives? A self-assessment of individuals completing fellowship training in 1993, *Pediatr. Emerg. Care*, 10, pp 208-12
62. Simon HK, Sullivan F, 1996, Confidence in performance of pediatric emergency procedures by community emergency practitioners, *Pediatr. Emerg. Care*, 12, pp 336-0
63. Mil'kov BO, Shamrei GP, Stashchuk VF, Deibuk GD, Kulachek FG, 1988, Training of the general physician in the problems of emergency surgical care, *Sov. Zdravookhr*, 7, pp 46-8
64. Kelly, L, 1998, Surgical skills for family physicians. Do family physicians make the cut?, *Can. Fam. Physician*, 44, pp 476-7
65. Reid SJ, Chabikuli N, Jaques PH, Fehrsen GS, 1999, The procedural skills of rural hospital doctors, *S Afr. Med. J*, 89, pp 769-74
66. Sohier N, Frejacques L, Gagnayre R, 1999, Design and implementation of a training programme for general practitioners in emergency surgery and obstetrics in precarious situations in Ethiopia, *Ann. R Coll. Surg. Engl.*, 81, pp 367-75

67. Girgis A, Sanson-Fisher RW, Walsh RA, 2001, Preventive and other interactional skills of general practitioners, surgeons, and physicians: perceived competence and endorsement of postgraduate training, *Prev. Med.*, 32, pp 73-81
68. Linder G, Murphy P, Streger MR, 2001, You did what? Clinical errors in EMS, *Emerg. Med. Serv.*, 30, pp 69-71
69. Cayten CG, Herrmann N, Cole LW, Walsh S, 1978, Assessing the validity of EMS data, *JACEP*, 7, pp 390-6
70. Williams KA, Rose WD, Simon R, 1999, Teamwork in emergency medical services, *Air Med. J.*, 18, pp 149-53
71. Eberle B, Dick WF, Schneider T, Wisser G, Doetsch S, Tzanova I, 1996, Checking the carotid pulse check: diagnostic accuracy of first responders in patients with and without a pulse, *Resuscitation*, 33, pp 107-16
72. Coontz DA, Gratton M, 2002, Endotracheal rules of engagement. How to reduce the incidence of unrecognized esophageal intubations, *J Emerg. Med. Serv. JEMS*, 27, pp 44-50, 52-4, 56-9
73. Bradley JS, Billows GL, Olinger ML, Boha SP, Cordell WH, Nelson DR, 1998, Prehospital oral endotracheal intubation by rural basic emergency medical technicians, *Ann. Emerg. Med.*, 32, pp 26-32
74. Hubble MW, Paschal KR, Sanders TA, 2000, Medication calculation skills of practicing paramedics, *Prehosp. Emerg. Care*, 4, pp 253-60
75. Liberman M, Lavoie A, Mulder D, Sampalis J, 1999, Cardiopulmonary resuscitation: errors made by pre-hospital emergency medical personnel, *Resuscitation*, 42, pp 47-55
76. Peacock JB, Blackwell VH, Wainscott M, 1985, Medical reliability of advanced prehospital cardiac life support, *Ann. Emerg. Med.*, 14, pp 407-9
77. Seidel JS, Henderson DP, Ward P, Wayland BW, Ness B, 1991, Pediatric prehospital care in urban and rural areas, *Pediatrics*, 88, pp 681-90
78. Seidel, JS, 1986, Emergency medical services and the pediatric patient: are the needs being met? II. Training and equipping emergency medical services providers for pediatric emergencies, *Pediatrics*, 78, pp 808-12
79. Su E, Schmidt TA, Mann NC, Zechnich AD, 2000, A randomized controlled trial to assess decay in acquired knowledge among paramedics completing a pediatric resuscitation course, *Acad. Emerg. Med.*, 7, pp 779-86

80. West H, 2000, Basic infant life support: retention of knowledge and skill, *Paediatr. Nurs.*, 12, pp 34-7
81. Snyder W, Smit S, 1998, Evaluating the evaluators: interrater reliability on EMT licensing examination, *Prehosp. Emerg. Care*, 2, pp 37-46
82. Zautcke JL, Lee RW, Ethington NA, 1987, Paramedic skill decay, *J Emerg. Med.*, 5, pp 505-12
83. Graber M, Gordon R, Franklin N, 2002, Reducing diagnostic errors in medicine: what's the goal?, *Acad. Med.*, 77, pp 981-92
84. Elkin PL, Gorman PN, 2002, Continuing medical education and patient safety: an agenda for lifelong learning, *J Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.*, 9, pp S128-32
85. Sultz HA, Sawner KA, Sherwin FS, 1984, Determining and maintaining competence: an obligation of allied health education, *J Allied Health*, 13, pp 272-9
86. Taylor HA, Kiser WR, 1998, Reported comfort with obstetrical emergencies before and after participation in the advanced life support in obstetrics course, *Fam. Med.*, 30, pp 103-7
87. Hall WL, Nowels D, 2000, Colorado family practice graduates' preparation for an practice of emergency medicine, *J Am. Board Fam. Pract.*, 13, pp 246-50
88. Wise AL, Hays RB, Adkins PB, Craig ML, Mahoney MD, Sheehan M, Siskind V, Nichols A, 1994, Training for rural general practice, *Med. J Aust.*, 161, pp 314-8
89. Forti EM, Martin KE, Jones RL, Herman JM, 1996, An assessment of practice support and continuing medical education needs of rural Pennsylvania family physicians, *J Rural Health*, 12, pp 432-7
90. Orient JM, Lindsay D, Whitney PJ, 1982, Educating primary physicians in emergency surgical procedures, *South Med. J.*, 75, pp 852-4
91. Kanz KG, Sturm JA, Mutschler W, 2002, Algorithm for prehospital blunt trauma management, *Unfallchirurg.*, 105, pp 1007-14
92. el-Tobgy E, Rupp T, 2002, Anaphylaxis. Vicious chain reaction, *J Emerg. Med. Serv. JEMS*, 27, pp 84-8, 90-3
93. Gage H, Kenward G, Hodgetts TJ, Castle N, Ineson N, Shaikh L, 2002, Health system costs of in-hospital cardiac arrest, *Resuscitation*, 54, pp 139-46

94. Ronco R, King W, Donley DK, Tilden SJ, 1995, Outcome and cost at a children's hospital following resuscitation for out-of-hospital cardiopulmonary arrest, *Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med.*, 149, pp 210-4
95. Rosemurgy AS, Norris PA, Olson SM, Hurst JM, Albrink MH, 1993, Prehospital traumatic cardiac arrest: the cost of futility, *J Trauma*, 35, pp 473-4
96. Zack JE, Garrison T, Trovillion E, Clinkscale D, Coopersmith CM, Fraser VJ, Kollef MH, 2002, Effect of an education aimed at reducing the occurrence of ventilator-associated pneumonia, *Crit. Care Med.*, 30, pp 2407-12
97. Lancaster T, Hart R, Gardner S, 2002, Literature and medicine: evaluating a special study module using the nominal group technique, *Med. Educ.*, 36, pp 1071-6
98. Fritsche L, Greenhalgh T, Falck-Ytter Y, Neumayer HH, Kunz R, 2002, Do short courses in evidence based medicine improve knowledge and skills? Validation of Berlin questionnaire and before and after study of courses in evidence based medicine, *BMJ*, 325, pp 1338-41
99. Murphy AW, Bury G, Dowling EJ, 1995, Teaching immediate cardiac care to general practitioners: a faculty-based approach, *Med. Educ.*, 29, pp 154-8
100. Rourke JT, 1994, Rural advanced life support update course, *J Emerg. Med.*, 12, pp 107-11
101. Loutfi A, McLean AP, Pickering J, 1995, Training general practitioners in surgical and obstetrical emergencies in Ethiopia, *Trop. Doct.*, 25, pp 1:22-6
102. Sanson-Fisher RW, Rolfe IE, Jones P, Ringland C, Agrez M, 2002, Trialling a new way to learn clinical skills: systematic clinical appraisal and learning, *Med. Educ.*, 36, pp 1028-34
103. Moseley TH, Cantrell MJ, Deloney LA, 2002, Clinical skills center attending: an innovative senior medical school elective, *Acad. Med.*, 77, pp 1176
104. Gold JP, Verrier EA, Olinger GN, Orringer MB, 2002, Development of a CD-ROM Internet Hybrid: a new thoracic surgery curriculum, *Ann. Thorac. Surg.*, 74, pp 1741-6
105. De Leo G, Krishna S, Balas EA, Maglaveras N, Boren SA, Beltrame F, Fato M, 2002, WEB-WAP Based Telecare, *Proc. AMIA Symp.*, pp 202-4
106. Dornan T, Carroll C, Parboosingh J, 2002, An electronic learning portfolio for selective continuing professional development, *Med. Educ.*, 36, pp 767-9

107. Von Lubitz DKJE, Levine H, Wolf E. 2002, The Goose, the Gander, or the Strasbourg Paté for All: Medical Education, World, and the Internet. In *Electronic Business and Education: recent Advances in Internet Infrastructures* (W, Chin, F. Poatricelli, V. Milutinovic, Eds.), Kluwer Acad. Publ. (Boston), pp. 189 – 210)
108. Greengold NL, 2002, A Web-based program for implementing evidence-based patient safety recommendations, *Jt. Comm. Qual. Improv.*, 28, pp 340-8
109. Tichon Jennifer G, 2002, Problem-based learning: a case study in providing e-health education using the Interent, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 66-8
110. Mann T, Colven R, 2002, A picture is worth more than a thousand words: enhancement of a pre-exam telephone consultation in dermatology with digital images, *Acad. Med.*, 77, pp 742-3
111. Casebeer L, Allison J, Spettell CM, 2002, Designing tailored Web-based instruction to improve practicing physicians' chlamydial screening rates, *Acad. Med.*, 77, pp 929
112. Poyner A, Wood A, Herzberg J, 2002, Distance learning project-information skills training: supporting flexible trainees in psychiatry, *Health Info. Libr. J*, 19, pp 84-9
113. Fieschi, Marius, Soula, Girard, Roch, Gouvernet, Joanny, Fieschi, Dominique, Botti, Genevieve, Volot, Frangoise, Berland, Yvon, 2002, Experimenting with new paradigms for medical education and the emergence of a distance learning degree using the Internet: teaching evidence-based medicine, *Med. Inform. Internet Med.*, 27, pp 1-1
114. Deodhar J, 2002, Telemedicine by email—experience in neonatal care at a primary care facility in rural India, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 20-1
115. Pastuszak J, Rodowicz MO, 2002, Internal e-mail: an avenue of educational opportunity, *J Contin. Educ. Nurs.*, 33, pp 164-77
116. Marshall JN, Stewart M, Ostbye T, 2001, Small-group CME using e-mail discussions. Can it work?, *Can. Fam. Physician*, 47, pp 557-63
117. Haythornthwaite, Sarah, 2002, Videoconferencing training for those working with at-risk young people in rural areas of Western Australia, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 29-33
118. Davis P, McCracken P, 2002, Restructuring rural continuing medical education through videoconferencing, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 108-9
119. Allen M, Sargeant J, McDougall E, O'Brien B, 2002, Evaluation of videoconferencing grand rounds, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 210-6

120. Allen M, Sargeant J, McDougall E, Proctor-Simms M, 2002, Videoconferencing for continuing medical education: from pilot project to sustained programme, *J Telemed Telecare*, 8, pp 131-7
121. Von Lubitz DKJE, Pletcher T, Treloar D, Wilkerson W, Wolf E, 2000, Immersive virtual reality platform for medical training: a “killer application”, in *Medicine Meets Virtual Reality 2000* ((ed. Williams, J., et al), IOS Press, Amsterdam
122. Lamminen, Heikki, Niiranen, Samuli, Niemi, Kirsi, Mattila, Heikki, Kalli, Seppo, 2002, Health related services on the Internet, *Med. Inform. Internet Med.*, 27, pp 13-20
123. Fay V, Feldt KS, Greenberg SA, Vezina M, Flaherty E, Ryan M, Fulmer T, 2001, Providing optimal hands-on experience. A guide for clinical preceptors, *Adv. Nurse Pract.*, 9, pp 71-4, 110
124. Hicks GD, 2001, An Appeal for more “hands-on” surgical training and experience, *Plast. Reconstr. Surg.*, 107, pp 1612-3
125. Gonzalez YM, Mohl ND, 2002, Care of patients with temporomandibular disorders: an educational challenge, *J Orofac. Pain*, 16, pp 200-6
126. Robinson G, 2002, Do general practitioners’ risk-taking propensities and learning styles influence their continuing medical education preferences?, *Med. Tech.*, 24, pp 71-8
127. Girdler NM, 2001, Competency in sedation, *Br. Dent. J*, 191, pp 119
128. Mandavia DP, Argona J, Chan L, Chan D, Henderson SO, 2000, Ultrasound training for emergency physicians- a prospective study, *Acad. Emerg. Med.*, 7, pp 1008-14
129. Haponik EF, Russell GB, Beamis JF Jr, Britt EJ, Kvale P, Mathur P, Mehta A, 2000, Bronchoscopy training: current fellows’ experiences and some concerns for the future, *Chest.*, 118, pp 625-30
130. Friedrich MJ, 2002, Practice makes perfect: risk-free medical training with patient simulators, *JAMA*, 288, pp 2811-2
131. [http://www.flightofthephoenix.org/link\\_trainer.htm](http://www.flightofthephoenix.org/link_trainer.htm)
132. Knowles WB, 1967, Aerospace simulation and human performance research, *Hum. Factors*, 9, pp 149-59
133. Krebs WK, McCarley JS, Bryant EV, 1999, Effects of mission rehearsal simulation on air-to-ground target acquisition, *Hum. Factors*, 41, pp 553-8

134. Ricard GL, 1995, Acquisition of control skill with delayed and compensated displays, *Hum. Factors*, 37, pp 652-8
135. Brannick MT, Prince A, Prince C, Salas E, 1995, The measurement of team process, *Hum. Factors*, 37, pp 641-51
136. Nagoshi MH, 2001, Role of standardized patients in medical education, *Hawaii Med. J*, 60, pp 323-4
137. Vardi A, Levin I, Berkenstadt H, Hourvitz A, Eisenkraft A, Cohen A, Ziv A, 2002, Simulation-based training of medical teams to manage chemical warfare casualties, *Isr. Med. Assoc. J*, 4, pp 540-4
138. Greenberg R, Loyd G, Wesley G, 2002, Integrated simulation experiences to enhance clinical education, *Med. Educ.*, 36, pp 1109-10
139. Bond WF, Spillane L, 2002, The use of simulation for emergency medicine resident assessment, *Acad. Emerg. Med.*, 9, pp 1295-9
140. Shapiro M, Morchi R, 2002, High-fidelity Medical Simulation and Teamwork Training to Enhance Medical Student Performance in Cardiac Resuscitation, *Acad. Emerg. Med.*, 9, pp 1055-6
141. Pittini R, Oepkes D, Macrury K, Reznick R, Beyene J, Windrim R, 2002, Teaching invasive perinatal assessment of a high fidelity simulator-based curriculum, *Ultrasound Obstet. Gynecol.*, 19, pp 478-83
142. Weller JM, Bloch M, Young S, Maze M, Oyesolsa S, Wyner J, Dob D, Haire K, Durbridge J, Walker T, Newbie D, 2003, Evaluation of high fidelity patient simulator in assessment of performance of anaesthetists, *Br. J., Anaesth.* 90, pp 43-47
143. Kanter RK, Fordyce WE, Tompkins JM, 1990, Evaluation of resuscitation proficiency in simulation: the impact of simultaneous cognitive task, *Pediatr. Emerg. Care* 6, pp 260-2
144. Small SD, Wuertz RC, Simon R, Shapiro N, Conn A, Setnik G, 1999, Demonstration of high fidelity simulation team training for emergency medicine, *Acad. Emerg. Med.* 6, pp 213-23
145. Mackenzie CF, Jefferies NJ, Hunter WA, Nernhard WN, Xiao Y, 1996, Comparison of self-reporting of deficiencies in airway management with video analysis of actual performance. LOTAS group. Level one Trauma Anesthesia Simulation, *Hum. Factors*, 38, pp 623-35
146. Garden A, Robinson B, Weller J, Wilson L, Crone D, 2002, Education to address medical error-a role for high fidelity patient simulation, *N Z Med. J*, 115, pp 133-4

147. Jeanguiot NP, 2000, Learning by mistake. The status of error in the initial education of nurses, *Rech. Soins. Infirm.*, pp 36-78
148. Grashew G, Roelofs TA, Rakowsky S, Schlag PM, 2002, Intereactive telemedical applications in OP 2000 via satellite, *Biomed. Tech. (Berl)*, 47, pp 330-3
149. Patterson PE, 2002, Development of a learning module using a virtual environment to demonstrate EMG and telerobotic control principles, *Biomed. Sci. Instrum.*, 38, pp 313-6
150. Agazio JB, Pavlides CC, Lasome CE, Flaherty NJ, Torrance RJ, 2002, Evaluation of a virtual reality simulator in sustainment training, *Mil. Med.*, 167, pp 893-7
151. Seymour NE, Gallagher AG, Roman SA, O'Brien MK, Bansal VK, Anderson DK, Satava RM, 2002, Virtual reality training improves operating room performance: results of a randomized, doubleblinded study, *Ann. Surg.*, 236, pp 463-4
152. Hotchkiss MA, Mendoza SN, 2001, Update for nurse anesthetists. Part 6. Full-body patient simulation technology: gaining experience using a malignant hyperthermia model, *AANA J*, 69, pp 59-65
153. Watterson L, Flanagan B, Donovan B, Robinson B, 2000, Anaesthetic simulators: training for the broader health-care profession, *Aust. N Z J Surg.*, 70, pp 735-7
154. Fletcher JL, 1995, AANA journal course: update for nurse anesthetists—anesthesia simulation: a tool for learning and research, *AANA J*, 63, pp 61-7
155. Issenberg SB, McGaghie WC, Hart IR, Mayer JW, Felner JM, Petrusa ER, Waugh RA, Brown DD, Safford RR, Gessner IH, Gordon DL, Ewy GA, 1999, Simulation technology for health professional skills training and assessment, *JAMA*, 282, pp 861-6
156. Schwid HA, Rooke GA, Carline J, Steadman RH, Murray WB, Olympio M, Tarver S, Steckner K, Wetstone S, The Anesthesia Simulator Research Consortium, 2002, Evaluation of anesthesia residents using mannequin-based simulation: a multiinstitutional study, *Anesthesiology*, 97, pp 1434-44
157. Wong SH, Ng KF, Chen PP, 2002, The application of clinical simulation in crisis management training, *Hong Kong Med. J*, 8, pp 131-5
158. Cosman PH, Cregan PC, Martin CJ, Cartmill JA, 2002, Virtual reality simulators: current status in acquisition and assessment of surgical skills, *ANZ J Surg.*, 72, pp 30-4
159. Gaba DM, DeAnda A, 1988, A comprehensive anesthesia environment: re-creating the operating room for research and training, *Anesthesiology*, 69, pp 387-94

160. Byrne AJ, Jones JG, 1997, The expanding role of simulators in risk management, *Br. J Anaesth.*, 79, pp 411
161. Spence AA, 1997, The expanding role of simulators in risk management, *Br. J Anaesth.*, 78, pp 633-4
162. Devitt JH, Kurrek MM, Cohen MM, Cleave-Hogg D, 2001, The validity of performance assessments using simulation, *Anesthesiology*, 95, pp 36-42
163. DeAnda A, Gaba DM, 1990, Unplanned incidents during comprehensive anesthesia simulation, *Anesth. Analg.*, 71, pp 77-82
164. Schaefer JJ 3<sup>rd</sup>, Grenvik A, 2001, Simulation-based training at the University of Pittsburgh, *Ann. Acad. Med. Singapore*, 30, pp 274-80
165. Gordon JA, Pawlowski J, 2002, Education on-demand: the development of a simulator-based medical education service, *Acad. Med.*, 77, pp 751-21
165. von Lubitz DKJE, Montgomery J, Russell W, 2000, Just in time training: emergency medicine training aboard a ship. *Navy Medicine* 3-4, 24-28
166. Treloar, D, K.P. Beier, J. Freer, H. Levine, D.K.J.E. von Lubitz, W. Wilkerson, E. Wolf, 2001, On site and distance education of emergency medicine personnel with a human patient simulator, *J. Mil. Med*, 166, 1003-6
167. von Lubitz DKJE, Beier KP, Freer J, Levine H, Pletcher T, Wilkerson W, Wolf E, 2001, Simulation-based medical training: the Medical Readiness Trainer concept and the preparation for military and civilian medical field operations, *Virtual Reality International Conference*, May 2001, (Eds. S.Richir, P. Richard, B. Tavel), ISTIA Innovation, 215-224, ISBN 2-9515730-0-6
168. von Lubitz DKJE, Carrasco B, Gabbrielli F, Ludwig T, et al., Transatlantic medical education: preliminary data on distance-based high fidelity human patient simulation training. *Medicine Meets Virtual Reality 2003* (J. Westwood ED, Hoffman MH, Mogul GT et al.), IOS Press (Amsterdam), pp. 379-385
169. von Lubitz DKJE, Carrasco B, Levine H, Poirier C, 2003, Multi-simulator distance based training in Combat Trauma Life Support – integration of different platforms. *Proceeding of Laval Virtual Conference*, Laval (France), in press

**Fig. 1**

## MASS TRAINING: MED-ASP

- Access
- Optimization
- Technology
- Live expertise
- Cost reduction



Copyright MEDSMART, Inc., 2001

The concept of Medical Application Software Provider (Med-ASP). The central training facility provides high-level training expertise, and remotely accessible High Fidelity Patient Simulators. The central facility has also the capacity of real-time, on demand, remote control of satellite simulators (client machines) providing remote customers who already have access to their own simulation devices with customized training expertise at a distance. Implementation of the concept results in improved access to the costly simulation devices and other forms of advanced medical training technology, optimization of operations, and significant cost reduction for the users. Med-ASP concept allows maximum flexibility in the effective use of the scarce resources whose availability is limited in the rural and remote regions, i.e., simulation facilities and advanced training expertise.

**Fig. 2a**



Laerdal SimMan HFPS configured to operate as a platform for training management of severe trauma. The simulator is moulded to represent significant burns to the chest and arm, facial penetrating injuries and avulsions, and open abdominal injuries with avulsed intestines. Patients of this type would be encountered following major traffic accidents, industrial explosions, or combat. Training in the field management of grave traumatic injuries at the prehospital level is greatly facilitated when HFPS units are used. Importantly, appropriately conducted simulation introduces the realism and stress of complex medical environments that cannot be reproduced in more traditional training settings. The figure clearly emphasizes the pre-eminent suitability of simulation for just-in-time training.

**Fig. 2b**



Multi-site, multi-simulator control station. The operating engineer has the view of all distant sites, controls operation of the cameras, voice inputs and sound levels, and is in full control of communication back-up systems, allowing an immediate switch to another line/IP address if the currently active connection fails. As shown, multiple site/multiple simulator technical control is a complex operation that requires highly skilled telecommunication personnel with expertise in TV activities, and who are capable of coordination of several sources of input and output while correlating these with the training taking place in the studio. It is the creation of effective and sophisticated control centers rather than the arrangements at the remote sites that poses the major difficulty in distributed simulation-based learning.

**Fig. 3**



Simulation-based distance training in the performance of complex medical procedures. The upper figure shows the training expert at the central simulation facility in Ann Arbor, MI instructing a group of junior physicians (lower picture) in Italy (L'Aquila) in the execution of fiberoptic intubation. The expert is in real time sound/video contact with his student allowing him not only to demonstrate the procedure itself, but also make a “running commentary” and either query the trainees or answer their questions. The expert sees the trainees as they are shown in the lower picture. The inset view in the lower provides the expert with the scene as seen full scale by his students in Italy, i.e., the process of guiding the fiberscope toward the patient’s trachea, and the fiberscope view of the inside of the trachea. The fiberscope view allows students to become familiar with the characteristic landmarks, recognize faulty placement of the device, assist in guiding the tip of the device, and – eventually – perform the entire procedure remotely simply by directing an untrained technician. This type of training is particularly suitable for telemedical consultation and guidance.